1
1

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCO ARMS COMPLAINT	
AIRS ID#: 0690051 DATE: <u>04/14/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:30</u>	DEPART: <u>10:45</u>
FACILITY NAME: EUSTIS READY-MIX & BLOCK P	'LANT	
FACILITY LOCATION: 649 W ATWATER		
EUSTIS 32726		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIGU Email: SBO@CEMEXUSA.COM CONTACT NAME: SIGURD BO Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/201 (effective date) (end date)	Mob PHC Mob	NE: (407)841-8409
Fa	-	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): SIGURD BO		(check \square only one box for each question)
Brief Notes: Facility seems to be closed at the time of	inspection. Sigurd Bo to ve	erify with follow-up email.
 Is the Authorized Representative still SIGURD BO? If no, who is?: 		XesNo
If different, did the facility provide an administrative up 3. Is the facility contact still SIGURD BO? If no, who is?:		
 Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's ins If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 	spection? days in advance?	YesNo YesNo

1 -CCB Plant-RM silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 COD Fund All Sho (centent) wishous Subject to Acusonable 1100	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>07/14/2004</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
DADT II. FIFL D ODSEDVATIONS Dule 62 206 $414(2)$ E A C	
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncontemissions by:	fined
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	Yes No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	f
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes No Yes No

2 - CCB Plant-BLOCK silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reaso	onable Precautions
---	--------------------

<u>2 – CCB Plant-BLOCK silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Pro</u>	ecautions	
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>07/14/2004</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	🗌 Yes	□ No □ No
particulate matter?	_	∐ No □ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

3 – CCB Plant-RM silo	(fly	yash/slag)	w/silotop	baghouse su	bject to	Reasonable	Precautions
-----------------------	------	------------	-----------	-------------	----------	------------	-------------

<u>5 – CCD Hant-Kivi sno (nyasi/siag)</u> w/snotop bagnouse subject to Keasonable i fe		
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>07/14/2004</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 	- 🗌 Yes	 No No No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No

<u>4 – CCB Plant-RM batching/truck loadout w/shroud & cent.baghouse subject to Reasona</u>	ble Precaution	ns
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>07/14/2004</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 		🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
particulate matter from stock piles?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(sheak 🗹 subs and
	(check $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ only one box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes No
2 Description for the first start	
2. Does this facility include:	(
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-	
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
In 125, what non exempt aires of activities.	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where	such other air general
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same	
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal	
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation between the second sec	
e. Of an equivalent profated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation t	
gal diesel/yr +gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF nat. gas/yr +	MM gal propane/yr $\leq 1.00?$
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr	
	6 ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of m	onthly fuel consumption
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	□ No
2. Does the owner or operator:a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		□ No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access 		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable 🗌; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable 🗌 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the follow</i>)	ing question 2.)	
 Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900	Yes (6)]	No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation p		L No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usa If YES, what was the purpose?		🗌 No
 b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	D No
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represer associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 	box for each ntative not units or Yes	question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represer associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each ntative not units or Yes Yes	question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represer associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each ntative not units or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represer associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each ntative not units or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represer associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each ntative not units or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

04/2016

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: